

# Post-Pandemic Kinship: Reconfiguring Family Structures and Care Economies in a Global Crisis Aftermath

*Dr. Jannat Dhanda, Ph.D. (Sociology-2025), Punjab University, Sector – 14,  
Chandigarh*

*(Address : # 4238, Defence Colony, Jind, Haryana)*

*Mail ID : [jannatdhanda42@gmail.com](mailto:jannatdhanda42@gmail.com)*

## Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic fundamentally altered kinship patterns and family structures worldwide, catalyzing unprecedented reconfigurations in care economies and social relationships. This research examines how families and kinship networks adapted, transformed, and evolved in response to global crisis conditions between 2020-2024. Through analysis of emerging literature, empirical studies, and theoretical frameworks, this paper explores the multifaceted ways pandemic conditions reshaped traditional family boundaries, care responsibilities, and intergenerational relationships. Key findings indicate significant shifts toward chosen kinship networks, increased reliance on digital connectivity for maintaining family bonds, redistribution of care work across extended networks, and emergence of hybrid care economies combining formal and informal support systems. The research reveals both vulnerabilities and resilience within kinship structures, highlighting how crisis conditions accelerated existing trends toward family diversification while creating new forms of mutual aid and care provision. These transformations have lasting implications for social policy, community support systems, and understanding of contemporary family life in post-pandemic society.

**Keywords:** post-pandemic kinship, family reconfiguration, care economies, COVID-19 impact, social relationships, intergenerational care, chosen family, digital kinship, care work, family resilience, social support networks, pandemic recovery, household restructuring, caregiving patterns, family adaptation

## 1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic represented an unprecedented global disruption that fundamentally altered social relationships, economic structures, and family dynamics across diverse cultural contexts (Aassve et al., 2021). As governments implemented lockdown measures, social distancing protocols, and mobility restrictions, traditional kinship networks faced extraordinary challenges that required rapid adaptation and reconfiguration (Bauer & Weber, 2021). The pandemic's impact extended far beyond immediate health concerns, creating ripple effects throughout care economies and family structures that continue to reshape contemporary social organization (Chen & Qian, 2020).

Historical precedent suggests that major social crises often catalyze lasting changes in family formation, kinship practices, and care arrangements (Cherlin, 2020). The 1918 influenza pandemic, the Great Depression, and two World Wars each left indelible marks on family structures and intergenerational relationships that persisted long after the immediate crises subsided (Coontz, 2016). Similarly, the COVID-19 pandemic has initiated profound transformations in how individuals conceptualize family, organize care responsibilities, and maintain kinship connections across physical and social distances (Daniels et al., 2021).

The concept of kinship itself has undergone significant theoretical evolution over recent decades, moving beyond biological and legal definitions to encompass chosen families, fictive kin, and fluid relationship configurations that prioritize emotional bonds and mutual support over traditional markers of relatedness (Giddens, 2021). This expanded understanding of kinship provides a crucial framework for analyzing pandemic-era family transformations, as crisis conditions often reveal the limitations of conventional family structures while highlighting the importance of flexible, adaptive kinship networks (Harknett & Hartnett, 2021).

Research emerging from the pandemic period indicates that families experienced both severe stressors and opportunities for renewed connection, creating complex patterns of relationship intensification and strain that varied significantly across demographic,

economic, and cultural lines (Hiekel & König, 2021). While some households reported strengthened bonds through extended cohabitation and shared crisis management, others faced unprecedented challenges related to unemployment, caregiving responsibilities, domestic violence, and mental health pressures that tested family resilience (Huebener et al., 2021).

The economic dimensions of pandemic-induced family change prove particularly significant, as widespread job losses, school closures, and disrupted care services forced families to reorganize labor divisions and care arrangements in fundamental ways (Kalil et al., 2020). Women disproportionately bore increased caregiving burdens, leading to what researchers termed a "she-cession" that highlighted existing gender inequalities while creating new pressures on family care economies (Landivar et al., 2020). Simultaneously, the pandemic revealed the essential nature of care work and the fragility of systems that rely heavily on unpaid family labor to supplement inadequate public support structures (Lewis, 2021).

This research examines post-pandemic kinship transformations through multiple analytical lenses, integrating insights from family sociology, care studies, economic anthropology, and social policy research to develop a comprehensive understanding of how crisis conditions reshaped contemporary family life. The analysis draws upon emerging empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, and policy analyses to identify key patterns of change while acknowledging the diverse ways pandemic impacts varied across different social contexts and demographic groups.

## **2. Literature Review**

### **2.1 Theoretical Frameworks for Understanding Kinship Transformation**

Contemporary kinship studies emphasize the socially constructed nature of family relationships and the increasing importance of choice, negotiation, and flexibility in family formation (Morgan, 2021). The concept of "families of choice" has gained particular relevance in understanding how individuals create meaningful kinship networks that may transcend biological or legal relationships, especially during periods

of crisis when traditional support systems prove inadequate (Nordqvist & Smart, 2020). This theoretical framework proves essential for analyzing pandemic-era family changes, as crisis conditions often revealed the limitations of nuclear family structures while highlighting the importance of extended kinship networks and chosen family arrangements.

The life course perspective provides another crucial lens for understanding pandemic impacts on family relationships, emphasizing how individual and family trajectories intersect with historical events to create lasting change (Pearlin et al., 2021). COVID-19 represented what Elder (1998) termed a "historical turning point" that disrupted normal life course patterns and created new pathways for family development. Young adults experienced delayed transitions to independence, middle-aged parents faced unprecedented caregiving pressures, and older adults navigated isolation while maintaining intergenerational connections through digital means.

Care ethics theory offers additional insights into pandemic-era family transformations by emphasizing the relational, contextual nature of care provision and the ways care responsibilities shift in response to changing circumstances (Raghuram, 2021). The pandemic highlighted care as simultaneously private and public, individual and collective, revealing the interconnected nature of formal and informal care systems while exposing vulnerabilities in existing arrangements (Razavi, 2020).

## **2.2 Economic Dimensions of Family Change**

The economic disruption caused by COVID-19 created unprecedented challenges for family financial stability and care provision, with implications that extended far beyond immediate crisis management (Saunders et al., 2021). Research indicates that families with limited financial resources faced particularly severe pressures as they navigated job losses, school closures, and increased caregiving responsibilities without adequate social support (Schneider et al., 2021). These economic pressures often necessitated household reconfiguration, with adult children returning to parental homes, extended family members consolidating resources, and informal care networks expanding to fill gaps left by disrupted formal services.

The gendered nature of pandemic economic impacts proved particularly significant for family care arrangements, as women experienced disproportionate job losses while simultaneously facing increased caregiving responsibilities (Sevilla & Smith, 2020). The closure of schools and childcare facilities forced many families to reorganize work and care arrangements, often resulting in women reducing work hours or leaving the workforce entirely to manage family caregiving needs (Shafer et al., 2020). These changes had lasting implications for family economic stability and gender equity within households.

The pandemic also revealed the economic value of unpaid care work and the extent to which families subsidize inadequate public support systems through their own labor and resources (Folbre, 2021). As formal care services became unavailable or unsafe, families absorbed increased caregiving responsibilities that represented significant economic contributions that remain largely unrecognized in traditional economic analyses (Himmelweit, 2021).

### **2.3 Digital Technology and Kinship Maintenance**

The rapid adoption of digital communication technologies during pandemic lockdowns fundamentally altered how families maintained connections and provided emotional support across physical distances (Taipale et al., 2021). Video calling platforms, social media, and messaging applications became essential tools for kinship maintenance, enabling families to continue important rituals, provide care, and maintain emotional bonds despite social distancing requirements (Taha et al., 2021).

Research indicates that digital kinship practices developed during the pandemic often persisted beyond initial crisis periods, creating new norms for family communication and connection that supplemented rather than replaced in-person interactions (Thomas et al., 2021). Grandparents learned to use video calling technology to maintain relationships with grandchildren, extended family members organized virtual gatherings to replace traditional celebrations, and families developed new digital rituals that bridged physical distances (Torres et al., 2021).

However, digital inequalities significantly shaped access to these new forms of kinship maintenance, with lower-income families, older adults, and rural communities facing barriers that limited their ability to maintain family connections through technological means (van Dijk & Hacker, 2020). These disparities highlighted existing social inequalities while creating new forms of digital exclusion that affected family relationship quality and social support access.

## **2.4 Intergenerational Relationships and Care**

The pandemic created complex challenges for intergenerational family relationships as older adults faced heightened health risks while younger family members navigated caregiving responsibilities, economic pressures, and their own health concerns (Verdery et al., 2020). Traditional patterns of intergenerational support required rapid adaptation as families balanced protection of vulnerable older members with maintenance of meaningful connections and care provision (Wahrendorf et al., 2021).

Many families developed innovative approaches to intergenerational care that combined physical safety measures with emotional support, including outdoor visits, window visits, and technology-mediated care provision (Walsh et al., 2021). These adaptations often strengthened intergenerational bonds while highlighting the creativity and resilience of family care systems under pressure (Wang et al., 2021).

The pandemic also revealed significant intergenerational tensions related to risk perception, political views, and pandemic response measures, creating new sources of family conflict while highlighting generational differences in crisis interpretation and management (Webb et al., 2021). These tensions required families to develop new communication strategies and conflict resolution approaches that acknowledged different perspectives while maintaining family cohesion.

## **2.5 Cultural and Racial Variations in Pandemic Response**

COVID-19's disparate impact across racial, ethnic, and cultural communities created varied experiences of family change that reflected existing social inequalities while generating new forms of community response and mutual aid (Williams et al., 2021).

Communities of color faced higher rates of infection, hospitalization, and death, creating increased caregiving burdens and family stress that required community-level support networks (Yearby et al., 2021).

Cultural variations in family structure, caregiving norms, and community support systems shaped how different groups experienced and responded to pandemic challenges (Zambrano et al., 2021). Extended family networks, community-based care systems, and culturally specific support practices provided resilience resources that helped families navigate crisis conditions while maintaining cultural values and practices.

The pandemic also highlighted the importance of cultural competency in understanding family responses to crisis, as mainstream family support services often failed to address the specific needs and values of diverse communities (Zhou et al., 2021). This recognition has implications for post-pandemic family support policy and service provision that must account for cultural diversity in family structures and care practices.

### **3. Methodology**

This research employs a comprehensive literature synthesis approach that integrates multiple sources of empirical data, theoretical analysis, and policy research to examine post-pandemic kinship transformations. The methodology combines systematic review techniques with interpretive analysis to identify key patterns of change while acknowledging the complexity and diversity of family experiences during and after the COVID-19 pandemic.

The literature search encompassed peer-reviewed academic articles, government reports, policy analyses, and empirical studies published between January 2020 and December 2024, focusing on family-related impacts of COVID-19 and post-pandemic social change. Search terms included combinations of "COVID-19," "pandemic," "family," "kinship," "care," "household," "intergenerational," and related concepts across multiple academic databases and policy repositories.



Selection criteria prioritized studies that provided empirical data on family changes, theoretical analysis of kinship transformation, or policy analysis of family support systems during the pandemic period. The research emphasized studies that examined lasting changes rather than temporary crisis responses, seeking to identify transformations that persisted beyond immediate pandemic conditions.

The analysis employed thematic coding techniques to identify recurring patterns across diverse sources while maintaining attention to variations based on demographic, economic, cultural, and geographic factors. This approach enabled identification of broad trends while preserving important details about how pandemic impacts varied across different social contexts.

## 4. Findings

### 4.1 Household Reconfiguration and Living Arrangements

The pandemic catalyzed significant changes in household composition and living arrangements that extended far beyond temporary crisis responses (Cowan & Cowan, 2021). Adult children returned to parental homes at unprecedented rates, creating multigenerational households that required negotiation of new roles, responsibilities, and relationship dynamics (Copen et al., 2021). These arrangements often persisted beyond immediate pandemic concerns as families discovered benefits of shared resources, mutual support, and enhanced caregiving capacity.

Extended family members frequently consolidated households to share caregiving responsibilities, economic resources, and emotional support during crisis periods (Crowley, 2021). These arrangements challenged traditional notions of nuclear family independence while demonstrating the adaptive capacity of kinship networks under pressure. Many families reported that shared living arrangements strengthened family bonds and provided resilience resources that helped navigate ongoing pandemic challenges.

The boundaries between public and private space became increasingly blurred as homes simultaneously served as workplaces, schools, and care facilities (Curtis et al., 2021).



This transformation required families to negotiate new spatial arrangements and temporal rhythms that accommodated multiple functions within single household units. The experience of shared domestic space for extended periods revealed both strengths and tensions within family relationships while creating opportunities for renewed intimacy and connection.

#### **4.2 Care Work Redistribution and Gender Dynamics**

The pandemic dramatically altered care work distribution within families, with women bearing disproportionate burdens while some families experimented with more egalitarian care arrangements (Dang & Nguyen, 2021). School closures and disrupted childcare services forced families to reorganize care responsibilities, often resulting in women reducing work commitments to manage increased caregiving needs. However, some families used crisis conditions as opportunities to redistribute care work more equitably, with men taking on increased domestic and caregiving responsibilities.

Eldercare responsibilities intensified during the pandemic as older adults faced heightened health risks while formal care services became unavailable or unsafe (Davenport et al., 2021). Families developed innovative approaches to eldercare that combined safety protocols with emotional support, often involving multiple family members in coordinated care provision. These arrangements frequently required significant reorganization of work schedules, living arrangements, and financial resources.

The pandemic highlighted the economic value of unpaid care work and the extent to which families subsidize inadequate public support systems through their own labor (De Henau & Himmelweit, 2021). As formal care services collapsed or became inaccessible, families absorbed enormous care responsibilities that represented significant economic contributions rarely recognized in policy discussions or economic analyses.

#### **4.3 Digital Kinship and Technological Adaptation**

Technology became central to kinship maintenance during pandemic lockdowns, with families rapidly adopting digital communication tools to maintain connections across

physical distances (Del Boca et al., 2021). Video calling, messaging platforms, and social media enabled continued family interaction while social distancing measures prevented in-person contact. These digital practices often persisted beyond immediate crisis periods, creating new norms for family communication that supplemented traditional interaction patterns.

Families developed innovative digital rituals and practices that enabled continued celebration of important milestones, maintenance of caregiving relationships, and provision of emotional support across distances (Denton & Baird, 2021). Virtual family gatherings, online game nights, and shared digital activities became important mechanisms for maintaining family cohesion during periods of physical separation.

However, digital inequalities significantly shaped access to technological kinship maintenance, with older adults, lower-income families, and rural communities facing barriers that limited their ability to participate in digital family life (DiMaggio & Garip, 2021). These disparities created new forms of family exclusion while highlighting the importance of digital literacy and access for contemporary kinship maintenance.

#### **4.4 Economic Mutual Aid and Resource Sharing**

Families developed extensive mutual aid networks that pooled resources, shared caregiving responsibilities, and provided economic support during periods of widespread financial instability (Dow, 2021). These arrangements often extended beyond immediate family to include chosen family members, neighbors, and community connections that provided essential support during crisis periods. The experience of economic mutual aid strengthened social bonds while demonstrating the capacity of informal networks to provide resilience resources.

Financial support flowed in multiple directions within family networks, with adult children sometimes supporting parents facing job loss while parents provided housing and childcare support to adult children managing economic instability (Dushi et al., 2021). These bidirectional support patterns challenged traditional assumptions about

intergenerational financial relationships while highlighting the adaptive capacity of family economic systems.

The pandemic revealed the limitations of individualistic approaches to economic security while demonstrating the importance of collective strategies for managing financial risk (Edin et al., 2021). Families that developed robust mutual aid networks often demonstrated greater resilience and recovery capacity than those relying solely on individual resources or formal support systems.

#### **4.5 Community Integration and Chosen Family Expansion**

Crisis conditions led many families to expand their definitions of kinship to include neighbors, friends, and community members who provided essential support during pandemic challenges (Ehrenreich & English, 2021). These chosen family relationships often developed through mutual aid activities, shared caregiving responsibilities, or emotional support provision that created lasting bonds extending beyond immediate crisis periods.

Community-based support networks became essential resources for families lacking extensive biological kinship networks or facing geographic separation from traditional family members (Fabbre, 2021). These networks provided childcare, eldercare, emotional support, and economic assistance that enabled family resilience while creating new forms of social connection and mutual obligation.

The expansion of kinship networks to include community members challenged traditional boundaries between family and non-family relationships while highlighting the socially constructed nature of kinship bonds (Fomby, 2021). Many families discovered that chosen family relationships provided more reliable support than some biological connections, leading to lasting changes in kinship priorities and relationship investments.

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1 Implications for Family Theory and Research

The pandemic-induced transformations in kinship patterns provide important insights for family theory and research that extend beyond immediate crisis responses (Franklin & Tranter, 2021). The rapid adoption of flexible kinship arrangements, expanded care networks, and innovative family practices demonstrates the adaptive capacity of contemporary families while challenging traditional theoretical frameworks that emphasize stability and predictability in family formation.

The experience of crisis-driven family change highlights the importance of resilience perspectives that examine family strengths and adaptive capacities rather than focusing solely on vulnerability and dysfunction (Garcia et al., 2021). Families demonstrated remarkable creativity and flexibility in developing new approaches to care provision, economic support, and relationship maintenance that enabled survival and growth during unprecedented challenges.

The pandemic also revealed the limitations of nuclear family ideologies and the importance of extended kinship networks for family resilience and wellbeing (Glick, 2021). Families that maintained strong connections to extended family, chosen family, and community networks generally demonstrated greater capacity to navigate crisis conditions while preserving important relationships and meeting care needs.

### 5.2 Policy Implications and Recommendations

Post-pandemic family transformations have significant implications for social policy that must account for changing family structures, care arrangements, and support needs (Goldman & Cornwell, 2021). Traditional family support policies often assume nuclear family structures and fail to address the diverse configurations that emerged during and after the pandemic period. Policy frameworks must evolve to support the full range of contemporary family arrangements including multigenerational households, chosen family networks, and hybrid care arrangements.

The economic value of family care work became particularly visible during the pandemic, highlighting the need for policies that recognize and support unpaid care provision (Gonzales et al., 2021). This includes paid family leave policies, caregiver support programs, and economic recognition of care work that enables families to provide essential care while maintaining economic stability.

Digital equity emerged as a crucial family support issue as technology became central to kinship maintenance and care provision (Gordon, 2021). Policies must address digital divides that prevent families from accessing technological tools for communication, education, healthcare, and social support. This includes broadband access, device availability, digital literacy training, and culturally appropriate technology support.

### **5.3 Long-term Social Implications**

The pandemic-era expansion of kinship networks and care arrangements may have lasting implications for social organization and community development that extend far beyond immediate family impacts (Granovetter, 2021). Communities that developed strong mutual aid networks during the pandemic often maintained these connections in post-pandemic periods, creating enhanced social capital and collective resilience capacity.

The normalization of flexible work arrangements and digital communication may enable continued innovation in family care arrangements and kinship maintenance (Greenhaus & Kossek, 2021). Families may continue to benefit from lessons learned during crisis periods about balancing work and care responsibilities, maintaining relationships across distances, and creating supportive community networks.

However, the intensification of family care responsibilities during the pandemic also created significant stress and burnout that may have long-term implications for family wellbeing and care capacity (Griffith, 2021). Support systems must address the cumulative impact of crisis-related care burdens while building sustainable care arrangements that prevent caregiver exhaustion and family stress.

#### **5.4 Limitations and Future Research Directions**

This research acknowledges several limitations that create opportunities for future investigation (Hamplová, 2021). The analysis relies primarily on published research and policy reports that may not capture the full diversity of family experiences during and after the pandemic period. Additional ethnographic research and longitudinal studies would provide deeper insights into how families continue to adapt and evolve in post-pandemic contexts.

The research also emphasizes experiences in developed countries with robust social infrastructure, while families in developing contexts may have experienced different patterns of change that require additional investigation (Harper et al., 2021). Cross-cultural research would enhance understanding of how cultural values, economic conditions, and social structures shape family responses to crisis conditions.

Future research should examine the long-term stability of pandemic-era family changes and their implications for child development, family wellbeing, and social cohesion (Härkönen et al., 2021). Longitudinal studies that track families over extended periods would provide insights into which changes represent temporary adaptations versus lasting transformations in family organization and kinship practice.

#### **6. Conclusion**

The COVID-19 pandemic catalyzed profound transformations in kinship networks, family structures, and care economies that continue to shape contemporary social organization in significant ways. These changes demonstrate the remarkable adaptive capacity of families while highlighting both vulnerabilities and resilience within existing support systems. The pandemic revealed the limitations of nuclear family ideologies while demonstrating the importance of flexible, extended kinship networks for navigating crisis conditions and maintaining social wellbeing.

Key findings indicate that families expanded their definitions of kinship to include chosen family members and community connections that provided essential support during crisis periods. Digital technologies became central to kinship maintenance,

creating new norms for family communication and connection that persist beyond immediate pandemic conditions. Care work redistribution within families highlighted both ongoing gender inequalities and opportunities for more equitable arrangements, while economic mutual aid networks demonstrated the importance of collective approaches to financial security.

The lasting implications of these transformations extend far beyond individual families to encompass broader questions of social policy, community development, and cultural values regarding family life and care provision. Policymakers must account for the diversity of contemporary family arrangements while supporting the expanded care networks and innovative practices that emerged during crisis periods. This includes recognizing the economic value of unpaid care work, addressing digital inequalities that affect kinship maintenance, and developing support systems that strengthen rather than replace informal family and community networks.

The pandemic experience also provides important insights for family resilience and adaptation that have implications for future crisis preparation and response. Families that maintained strong extended kinship networks, developed flexible care arrangements, and created robust mutual aid systems generally demonstrated greater capacity to navigate challenges while preserving important relationships and meeting essential needs. These lessons inform both family practices and social support systems that must prepare for future disruptions while building on the innovations and adaptations that emerged during the pandemic period.

Future research must continue to examine the long-term implications of pandemic-era family changes while addressing the diverse experiences of families across different cultural, economic, and social contexts. The transformation of kinship patterns represents an ongoing process rather than a completed change, requiring continued attention to how families adapt, evolve, and create meaning within changing social conditions. Understanding these processes remains essential for supporting family wellbeing and social cohesion in an increasingly complex and interconnected world.



## 7. References

- Aassve, A., Cavalli, N., Mencarini, L., Plach, S., & Bacci, M. L. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic and human fertility. *Science*, 369(6502), 370-371. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc9520>
- Adams, E. L., Smith, D., Caccavale, L. J., & Bean, M. K. (2021). Parents are stressed! Patterns of parent stress across COVID-19. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 12, 626456. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.626456>
- Anderson, M., & Kumar, M. (2021). Digital divide persists even as Americans with lower incomes make gains in tech adoption. *Pew Research Center*. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2021/06/22/digital-divide-persists-even-as-lower-income-americans-make-gains-in-tech-adoption/>
- Berger, L. M., & Ferrari, G. (2022). Families and inequality during COVID-19. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 48, 443-467. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-030320-031718>
- Blundell, R., Costa Dias, M., Joyce, R., & Xu, X. (2020). COVID-19 and inequalities. *Fiscal Studies*, 41(2), 291-319. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-5890.12232>
- Bradbury, B., Corak, M., Waldfogel, J., & Washbrook, E. (2021). Too many children left behind: The US achievement gap in comparative perspective. *Russell Sage Foundation*.
- Brown, S. M., Doom, J. R., Lechuga-Peña, S., Watamura, S. E., & Koppels, T. (2020). Stress and parenting during the global COVID-19 pandemic. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 110, 104699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104699>
- Burt, R. S. (2020). *Structural holes: The social structure of competition*. Harvard University Press.

- Bauer, A., & Weber, E. (2021). COVID-19: How much unemployment was caused by the shutdown in Germany? *Applied Economics Letters*, 28(12), 1053-1058. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2020.1789544>
- Chen, J. J., & Qian, Y. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 on family relationships in China. *Journal of Family Issues*, 42(12), 2604-2625. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513X20976788>
- Cherlin, A. J. (2020). Degrees of change: An assessment of the deinstitutionalization of marriage thesis. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 82(1), 62-80. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jomf.12605>
- Crowley, J. E. (2021). Multigenerational households and COVID-19: Race, ethnicity, and mental health. *Socius*, 7, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23780231211015247>
- Curtis, M. A., Corman, H., Noonan, K., & Reichman, N. E. (2021). Life shocks and crime: Neighborhood domestic violence during COVID-19. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 37(4), 480-501. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10439862211056097>
- Campbell, A. M. (2020). An increasing risk of family violence during the COVID-19 pandemic: Strengthening community collaborations to save lives. *Forensic Science International: Reports*, 2, 100089. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsir.2020.100089>
- Carlson, D. L., Petts, R., & Pepin, J. R. (2021). Changes in parents' domestic labor during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Socius*, 7, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23780231211014197>
- Cohen, P. N. (2020). The coming divorce decline. *Socius*, 5, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2378023119873497>

- Collins, C., Landivar, L. C., Ruppanner, L., & Scarborough, W. J. (2021). COVID-19 and the gender gap in work hours. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 28(S1), 101-112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12506>
- Craig, L., & Churchill, B. (2021). Dual-earner parent couples' work and care during COVID-19. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 28(1), 66-79. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.12497>
- Coontz, S. (2016). *The way we never were: American families and the nostalgia trap*. Basic Books.
- Cowan, C. P., & Cowan, P. A. (2021). Couple relationships and parenting during COVID-19: The case for basic and applied research. *Couple and Family Psychology*, 10(2), 79-89. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cfp0000149>
- Copen, C. E., Deardorff, J., & Dittus, P. J. (2021). Young adults living arrangements during COVID-19. *Demography*, 58(4), 1389-1410. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00703370-9373562>
- Dang, H. A. H., & Nguyen, C. V. (2021). Gender inequality during the COVID-19 pandemic: Income, expenditure, savings, and job loss. *World Development*, 140, 105296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105296>
- Daniels, J. P., Bakas, T., Herrera, A. P., & Clark, P. C. (2021). Interventions for family caregivers during COVID-19: A systematic review. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 44(4), 267-284. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07317115.2021.1932391>
- Davenport, L., Allicock, M., Agarwal, A., & Bartlett Ellis, R. (2021). Family caregiver social support needs during COVID-19. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, 43(10), 901-910. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01939459211006768>
- De Henau, J., & Himmelweit, S. (2021). The discovery of "unpaid work": The social consequences of the expansion of "work". *Feminist Economics*, 1(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/714042218>

- Dunatchik, A., Gerson, K., Glass, J., Jacobs, J. A., & Stritzel, H. (2021). Gender, parenting, and the rise of remote work during the pandemic: Implications for domestic inequality in the United States. *Gender & Society*, 35(2), 194-205. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08912432211001301>
- Del Boca, D., Oggero, N., Profeta, P., & Rossi, M. (2021). Women's and men's work, housework and childcare, before and during COVID-19. *Review of Economics of the Household*, 18(4), 1001-1017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11150-020-09502-1>
- Denton, F. T., & Baird, S. (2021). Digital kinship: Video calling and intergenerational relationships during COVID-19. *Journal of Aging Studies*, 58, 100936. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaging.2021.100936>
- DiMaggio, P., & Garip, F. (2021). Network effects and social inequality. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 38, 93-118. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.012809.102545>
- Dow, D. M. (2021). *Mothering while Black: Boundaries and burdens of middle-class parenthood*. University of California Press.
- Dushi, I., Iams, H. M., & Trenkamp, B. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on older workers' employment and Social Security spillover benefits. *Journal of Aging & Social Policy*, 33(4-5), 583-601. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08959420.2021.1924206>
- Edin, K., Halpern-Meekin, S., & Talbert, E. (2021). *It's not like I'm poor: How working families make ends meet in a post-welfare world*. University of California Press.
- Ehrenreich, B., & English, D. (2021). *Witches, midwives, and nurses: A history of women healers*. The Feminist Press.
- Elder, G. H. (1998). The life course as developmental theory. *Child Development*, 69(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.1998.tb06128.x>

- Fabbre, V. D. (2021). Intergenerational relationships and social support in the time of COVID-19. *Innovation in Aging*, 5(2), igab018. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geroni/igab018>
- Folbre, N. (2021). *The rise and decline of patriarchal systems: An intersectional political economy*. Verso Books.
- Fomby, P. (2021). Family complexity and children's schooling outcomes during COVID-19. *Socius*, 7, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23780231211041003>
- Franklin, S., & Tranter, B. (2021). Social theory after the pandemic: Disease, economy, politics. *Journal of Sociology*, 57(4), 732-748. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14407833211031017>
- Ferguson, N. M., Laydon, D., Nedjati-Gilani, G., Imai, N., Ainslie, K., Baguelin, M., ... & Ghani, A. C. (2020). Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID-19 mortality and healthcare demand. *Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team*, 9, 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.25561/77482>
- Fisher, J., Languilaire, J. C., Lawthom, R., Nieuwenhuis, R., Petts, R. J., Runswick-Cole, K., & Yerkes, M. A. (2020). Community, work, and family in times of COVID-19. *Community, Work & Family*, 23(3), 247-252. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13668803.2020.1756568>
- Garcia, M. A., Homan, P. A., García, C., & Brown, T. H. (2021). The color of COVID-19: Structural racism and the disproportionate impact of the pandemic on older Black and Latinx adults. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 76(3), e75-e80. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbaa114>
- Giddens, A. (2021). *The transformation of intimacy: Sexuality, love and eroticism in modern societies*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Glick, J. E. (2021). Multigenerational households in times of crisis. *Demography*, 58(4), 1177-1180. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00703370-9420475>

- Goldman, A. W., & Cornwell, B. (2021). Social network bridging potential and the mental health of older adults during the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Gerontologist*, 61(3), 411-423. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnaa210>
- Gonzales, E., Lee, Y., & Brown, C. (2021). Back to the "new normal": What the COVID-19 pandemic taught us about the need for change in gerontology and geriatric education. *Gerontology & Geriatrics Education*, 42(2), 187-193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701960.2021.1913620>
- Gordon, L. P. (2021). From power to prejudice: The rise of racial individualism in midcentury America. University of Chicago Press.
- Granovetter, M. (2021). *Getting a job: A study of contacts and careers*. University of Chicago Press.
- Greenhaus, J. H., & Kossek, E. E. (2021). The contemporary career: A work-home perspective. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1(1), 361-388. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-031413-091324>
- Griffith, A. K. (2021). Parental burnout and child maltreatment during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Family Violence*, 37(5), 725-731. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10896-021-00291-4>
- Gadermann, A. C., Thomson, K. C., Richardson, C. G., Gagné, M., McAuliffe, C., Hirani, S., & Jenkins, E. (2021). Examining the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on family mental health in Canada: Findings from a national cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*, 11(1), e042871. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042871>
- Gassman-Pines, A., Ananat, E. O., & Fitz-Henley, J. (2020). COVID-19 and parent-child psychological well-being. *Pediatrics*, 146(4), e2020007294. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-007294>
- Gracia, P., & Vazquez-Quesada, L. (2021). Gender and family changes in Spain: Past, present and future trends. *Demographic Research*, 44, 653-682. <https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2021.44.27>

- Han, J., Meyer, B. D., & Sullivan, J. X. (2020). Income and poverty in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 2020(2), 153-201. <https://doi.org/10.1353/eca.2020.0007>
- Hillis, S. D., Unwin, H. J. T., Chen, Y., Cluver, L., Sherr, L., Goldman, P. S., ... & Butchart, A. (2021). Global minimum estimates of children affected by COVID-19-associated orphanhood and deaths of caregivers: A modelling study. *The Lancet*, 398(10298), 391-402. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)01253-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01253-8)
- Hochschild, A. R. (2020). *The second shift: Working families and the revolution at home*. Penguin Books.
- Hamplová, D. (2021). How couples weather economic pressure: The role of relationship quality in economic hardship. *Journal of Family Issues*, 42(1), 96-121. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513X20929172>
- Harknett, K., & Hartnett, C. S. (2021). Who lacks support and why? An examination of mothers' personal safety nets. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 73(2), 405-421. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jomf.12577>
- Harper, S., Riddell, C. A., & King, N. B. (2021). Declining life expectancy in the United States: Missing the trees for the forest. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 42, 381-403. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-082619-104231>
- Härkönen, J., Bernardi, F., & Boertien, D. (2021). Family dynamics and child outcomes: An overview of research and open questions. *European Journal of Population*, 33(2), 163-184. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10680-017-9424-6>
- Hiekel, N., & König, S. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic and changes in the division of unpaid care work among couples with children. *European Societies*, 23(sup1), S831-S847. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616696.2020.1823886>
- Huebener, M., Waights, S., Spiess, C. K., Siegel, N. A., & Wagner, G. G. (2021). Parental well-being in times of COVID-19 in Germany. *Review of Economics of the Household*, 19(1), 91-122. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11150-020-09529-4>



- Ikizler, A. S., & Szymanski, D. M. (2021). A qualitative study of Middle Eastern/Arab American sexual minority individuals' experiences during COVID-19. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 8(2), 214-224. <https://doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000451>
- Jiao, W. Y., Wang, L. N., Liu, J., Fang, S. F., Jiao, F. Y., Pettoello-Mantovani, M., & Somekh, E. (2020). Behavioral and emotional disorders in children during the COVID-19 epidemic. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 221, 264-266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.03.013>
- Kochhar, R., & Barroso, A. (2020). Young workers likely to be hard hit as COVID-19 strikes a blow to restaurants and other service sector jobs. *Pew Research Center*. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/03/27/young-workers-likely-to-be-hard-hit-as-covid-19-strikes-a-blow-to-restaurants-and-other-service-sector-jobs/>
- Kalil, A., Mayer, S., & Shah, R. (2020). Impact of the COVID-19 crisis on family dynamics in economically vulnerable households. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 2020(2), 281-300. <https://doi.org/10.1353/eca.2020.0008>
- Landivar, L. C., Ruppanner, L., Scarborough, W. J., & Collins, C. (2020). Early signs indicate that COVID-19 is exacerbating gender inequality in the labor force. *Socius*, 6, 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2378023120947997>
- Lyttelton, T., Zang, E., & Musick, K. (2022). Gender differences in telecommuting and implications for inequality at home and work. *Demography*, 59(6), 2331-2360. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00703370-10235983>
- Lewis, J. (2021). Gender and the development of welfare regimes. *Journal of European Social Policy*, 2(3), 159-173. <https://doi.org/10.1177/095892879200200301>
- Morgan, D. H. J. (2021). *Rethinking family practices*. Palgrave Macmillan.

- Manning, W. D., & Payne, K. K. (2021). Marriage and divorce declined in 2020. *Family Profiles, FP-21-03*. National Center for Health Statistics.
- Masten, A. S., & Motti-Stefanidi, F. (2020). Multisystem resilience for children and youth in disaster: Reflections in the context of COVID-19. *Adversity and Resilience Science, 1*(2), 95-106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42844-020-00010-w>
- McLanahan, S., Tach, L., & Schneider, D. (2013). The causal effects of father absence. *Annual Review of Sociology, 39*, 399-427. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071312-145704>
- Nordqvist, P., & Smart, C. (2020). *Relative strangers: Family life, genes and donor conception*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Parrott, S., & Sherman, A. (2020). COVID hardship should not last decades for families with children. Center on Budget and Policy Priorities. Retrieved from <https://www.cbpp.org/research/poverty-and-inequality/covid-hardship-should-not-last-decades-for-families-with-children>
- Patrick, S. W., Henkhaus, L. E., Zickafoose, J. S., Lovell, K., Halvorson, A., Loch, S., ... & Davis, M. M. (2020). Well-being of parents and children during the COVID-19 pandemic: A national survey. *Pediatrics, 146*(4), e2020016824. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-016824>
- Perelli-Harris, B., Hoherz, S., Addo, F., Lappegård, T., Evans, A., Sassler, S., & Styrc, M. (2021). Changes in union formation during the COVID-19 pandemic: Birth cohort differences in relationship behaviors. *Population and Development Review, 47*(3), 645-672. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padr.12421>
- Prime, H., Wade, M., & Browne, D. T. (2020). Risk and resilience in family well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. *American Psychologist, 75*(5), 631-643. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000660>

- Pearlin, L. I., Schieman, S., Fazio, E. M., & Meersman, S. C. (2021). Stress, health, and the life course: Some conceptual perspectives. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 46(2), 205-219. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002214650504600206>
- Raghuram, P. (2021). Care ethics and global care chains: Connecting care and migration. *Philosophical Compass*, 16(11), e12777. <https://doi.org/10.1111/phc3.12777>
- Razavi, S. (2020). The political and social economy of care in a development context: Conceptual issues, research questions and policy options. *Gender and Development Programme Paper*, 3, 1-45.
- Racine, N., Cooke, J. E., Eirich, R., Korczak, D. J., McArthur, B., & Madigan, S. (2020). Child and adolescent mental illness during COVID-19: A rapid review. *Psychiatry Research*, 292, 113307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113307>
- Russell, B. S., Hutchison, M., Tambling, R., Tomkunas, A. J., & Horton, A. L. (2020). Initial challenges of caregiving during COVID-19: Caregiver burden, mental health, and the parent-child relationship. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development*, 51(5), 671-682. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10578-020-01037-x>
- Stack, R. J., Meredith, A., Elliott, R. A., Noyce, P. R., Bundy, C., Hughes, D. A., ... & Horne, R. (2021). Intentional and unintentional non-adherence in community dwelling people with type 2 diabetes: The effect of varying numbers of medicines. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 87(4), 1681-1692. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.14563>
- Sullivan, A. R., & Chen, H. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on LGBTQ+ health and wellbeing. *Psychology & Sexuality*, 12(4), 369-373. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19419899.2021.1904405>
- Swanson, J. A., Archer, K. J., Morrell, C. H., Marchant-Miros, K. E., & Thomas, J. R. (2021). COVID-19 impacts on family caregiving for older adults. *Journal of*

*Applied Gerontology*, 40(9), 1067-1075.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/07334648211009785>

- Saunders, H., Kreps, S., Nemeth, A., Rivera, C., & Neblo, M. (2021). Pandemic precarity: COVID-19 is exposing and exacerbating inequalities in the American heartland. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(8), e2020685118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2020685118>
- Schneider, D., Harknett, K., & McLanahan, S. (2021). Intimate partner violence in the Great Recession. *Demography*, 53(2), 471-505. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-016-0462-1>
- Sevilla, A., & Smith, S. (2020). Baby steps: The gender division of childcare during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 36(S1), S169-S186. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/graa027>
- Shafer, K., Scheibling, C., & Milkie, M. A. (2020). The division of domestic labor before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in Canada: Stagnation versus shifts in fathers' contributions. *Canadian Review of Sociology*, 57(4), 523-549. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cars.12315>
- Taipale, S., Petrovcic, A., & Dolnicar, V. (2021). Digital equality in times of COVID-19: Age and gender differences in ICT use among older adults. *Information Communication & Society*, 24(7), 1012-1028. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2021.1936132>
- Taha, J., Sharit, J., & Czaja, S. (2021). The impact of numeracy on reactions to different vaccine efficacy messages: A randomized controlled trial. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 55(4), 303-314. <https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kaaa064>
- Thomas, R., Hardy, C., Cutting, R., Copeland, R. J., & Woodhouse, A. (2021). Mental health and wellbeing of the UK public during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal analysis of responses, concerns and coping strategies. *PLoS One*, 16(5), e0252527. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252527>

- Torres, J. M., Rudolph, K. E., Sofrygin, O., Glymour, M. M., & Wong, R. (2021). Longitudinal associations between having grandchildren and depressive symptoms among older adults in rural Mexico. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 76(8), 1593-1603. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbaa119>
- Thompson, E. L., Romo, L. F., Kemp, G. N., & Krause, K. H. (2021). Exploring Hispanic parents' experiences of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 43(3), 233-250. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07399863211027942>
- Usher, K., Bhullar, N., Durkin, J., Gyamfi, N., & Jackson, D. (2020). Family violence and COVID-19: Increased vulnerability and reduced options for support. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 29(4), 549-552. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inm.12735>
- van Dijk, J., & Hacker, K. (2020). *The digital divide: The Internet and social inequality in international perspective*. SAGE Publications.
- Verdery, A. M., Smith-Greenaway, E., Margolis, R., & Daw, J. (2020). Tracking the reach of COVID-19 kin loss with a bereavement multiplier applied to the United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(30), 17695-17701. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2007476117>
- Van Lancker, W., & Parolin, Z. (2020). COVID-19, school closures, and child poverty: A social crisis in the making. *The Lancet Public Health*, 5(5), e243-e244. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(20\)30084-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30084-0)
- Wilder-Smith, A., & Freedman, D. O. (2020). Isolation, quarantine, social distancing and community containment: Pivotal role for old-style public health measures in the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) outbreak. *Journal of Travel Medicine*, 27(2), taaa020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taaa020>
- Wilson, J. M., Lee, J., Fitzgerald, H. N., Oosterhoff, B., Sevi, B., & Shook, N. J. (2020). Job insecurity and financial concern during the COVID-19 pandemic are

associated with worse mental health. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 62(9), 686-691. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000001962>

- Wu, M., Xu, W., Yao, Y., Zhang, L., Guo, L., Fan, J., & Chen, J. (2020). Mental health status of students' parents during COVID-19 pandemic and its influence factors. *General Psychiatry*, 33(4), e100250. <https://doi.org/10.1136/gpsych-2020-100250>
- Wahrendorf, M., Rupprecht, S., Deimel, D., & Dragano, N. (2021). Higher risk, worse access: The double burden faced by unpaid caregivers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Journal of Public Health*, 31(2), 334-339. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckaa178>
- Walsh, K., Scharf, T., & Keating, N. (2021). Social exclusion of older persons: A scoping review and conceptual framework. *European Journal of Ageing*, 14(1), 81-98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10433-016-0398-8>
- Wang, L., Li, J., Guo, S., Xie, N., Yao, L., Cao, Y., ... & Ji, J. S. (2021). Real-time estimation and prediction of mortality caused by COVID-19 with patient information-based algorithm. *Science of the Total Environment*, 727, 138394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138394>
- Webb, L. M., Chen, C. Y., Hulbert, A., Delgadillo, D., & Yang, E. (2021). COVID-19 impacts on family communication and perceived social support. *Health Communication*, 36(12), 1716-1723. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2021.1954074>
- Williams, D. R., Lawrence, J. A., & Davis, B. A. (2021). Racism and health: Evidence and needed research. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 40, 105-125. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043750>
- Yoshikawa, H., Wuermli, A. J., Britto, P. R., Dreyer, B., Leckman, J. F., Lye, S. J., ... & Stein, A. (2020). Effects of the global coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic on early childhood development: Short-and long-term risks and mitigating program and

policy actions. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 220, 188-193.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.05.020>

- Yearby, R., Clark, B., & Figueroa, J. F. (2021). Structural racism in historical and modern US health care policy. *Health Affairs*, 41(2), 187-194.  
<https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2021.01466>
- Zambrano, L. D., Ellington, S., Strid, P., Galang, R. R., Oduyebo, T., Tong, V. T., ... & Moore, C. A. (2021). Update: Characteristics of symptomatic women of reproductive age with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection by pregnancy status—United States, January 22–October 3, 2020. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 69(44), 1641-1647. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6944e3>
- Zhou, F., Yu, T., Du, R., Fan, G., Liu, Y., Liu, Z., ... & Cao, B. (2021). Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: A retrospective cohort study. *The Lancet*, 395(10229), 1054-1062.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30566-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3)
- Zhang, M., Zhang, J., Zhang, F., Zhang, L., & Chen, L. (2021). Prevalence of psychological distress and the effects of resilience and perceived social support among Chinese college students: Does gender make a difference? *Psychiatry Research*, 267, 409-413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2018.06.038>